
Village of Gold River REPORT TO COUNCIL

Regular Council Meeting
May 6, 2024

Author: Joe Doxey - Director of Operations

Subject: Waterfront, Temporary Dock Repairs

RECOMMENDATION(S):

THAT Council authorize staff to award the Waterfront, Temporary Dock Repair project to Sea Roamer Marine Services Ltd. as proposed for \$40,465.35 and;

THAT Council authorize staff to approve contingency items that may arise during the project, not exceeding the approved budget of \$65,000.

ALTERNATIVE(S):

THAT Council provides staff with alternate direction.

PURPOSE

To provide Council with information and receive authorization to award a contract for Waterfront, Temporary Dock Repairs.

ATTACHMENT(S):

Deep Sea Wharf Marina Facility, Temporary Repairs, Plan, Sections & Details

DISCUSSION

In conjunction with the Waterfront Strategic Plan (Urban Systems Ltd. (2023), *Waterfront Strategic Plan*), an additional study was completed that explored marina and dock upgrades needed to support the benchmark recommendations contained within the Waterfront Strategic Plan as well as continued Marina dock operations.

This additional study, (McElhanney Engineering Ltd. (2022), *Deep Sea Wharf Facility Floating Dock Replacement – Concept Feasibility Report*) broke things down into two stages: the Marina Upgrades (stage 1) valued at \$2.6 million, and the Seaplane Dock / Upland Upgrades (stage 2) valued at \$1.1 million. This report also identified that a more detailed condition assessment should be completed and that more immediate / temporary repairs might be needed in order to

maintain continued use of the Marina until the more extensive marina and dock upgrades could be completed. McElhanney was retained to complete this condition assessment, budgetary cost estimate (\$90,000), and facilitated requests for quotation concerning the temporary dock repairs.

Request for quotation was initiated by McElhanney on behalf of the Village. Two firms responded with proposals below:

Vendor	Cost (excluding contingency & taxes)
S&S Dive Services Ltd. (S&S)	\$20,267.00
Sea Roamer Marine Services Ltd. (SRMS)	\$40,465.35

McElhanney and staff reviewed the two proposal responses and provided the following conclusions for our consideration:

The S&S proposal stated multiple intentional omissions from their quote.

The S&S proposal included insufficient quantities and inconsistent unit rates with quantities.

The S&S did not include the fabrication of the gangway transition ramp. This omission was not included in their stated omissions.

The quote from S&S listed quantities of materials that did not align with the quantities shown on our repair drawings.

The SRMS quote provided a detailed breakdown of the materials required for each job, the associated repair effort, and a 7 working days (one to two weeks) schedule to help plan the work. SRMS demonstrates a better understanding of the repair requirements.

Staff reviewed the proposals and consulted with McElhanney concerning the project cost, including implementation considerations (cost, duration, service interruptions, additional engineering consultation and inspection), and recommend SRMS to be the best value. There is significant potential cost born in the omissions and errors in the S&S quotation and acceptance could be deemed as an unfair competition. The S&S quotation provided insufficient detail to accurately compare; however, there could be tens of thousands of dollars in value found in those errors and omissions,

Our purchasing policy requires that we receive the best value available for services, products and materials by using open and competitive processes, along with a high degree of accountability. To this end the total cost for evaluation must consider the total cost of performing the intended function and not solely the proposal amount. The purchasing policy makes provision for Council to approve awards of work where the recommended vendor presenting the best value may not be the immediately lowest dollar value.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The budget for this project is \$65,000 and is funded from the Municipal Dock Maintenance Reserve. The project included within Council's approved 5-year budget. The budget is sufficient to complete this project as recommended.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct policy implications implied by this project. Deferment may necessitate a review of dock use policies.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct legal implications implied by this project. Deferment would require a more detailed review of our legal liabilities.

STRATGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

The project supports the economic growth strategic goal of a waterfront strategy to increase industry and tourism. The project may also help to support the goal of community pride by increasing and supporting a diverse and vibrant community through maintain or increased potential utilization of the waterfront area and docks.

Supported by CAO: _____

Respectfully submitted,

Joe Doxey ASCT, ENV SP
Director of Operations
Village of Gold River